

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,764	07/11/2005	John Alan Gervais	PU030016	6099
24498 Thomson Lice	7590 07/17/200 nsing LLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 5312	!	CHAN, SAI MING		
Two Independ PRINCETON.	ence Way NJ 08543-5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2416	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/541,764	GERVAIS ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
SAI-MING CHAN	2416			

	SAI-MING CHAN	2416						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 113(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provide will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to exply within the sat or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANCONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Failure to exply within the sat or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANCONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). For example, the same of the sam								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/22/ 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is					
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-9 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage					
Attachment(s)	Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/62/08) Paper Nots/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate						

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20090629

Art Unit: 2416

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Eerenberg et al. (U.S. Patent Publication #20030002540).

Consider claims 1, 7 and 9, Eerenberg et al. clearly disclose and show an apparatus for wirelessly transmitting and receiving digital video data, comprising:

a means for receiving a time stamp (paragraph 0008 (received successive transmission time stamps)) indicating a time of video transmission (paragraph 0022 (video pavload)):

a means for determining a relative time difference between the time stamp and a previous time stamp (paragraph 0008 (calculate time difference from the received successive time stamps));

a means for communicating the relative time difference to a transmitter (paragraph 0008 (calculate time difference from the received successive time stamps))

Art Unit: 2416

having as one feature of transmission a time base (fig. 3 (18->19->21), paragraph 0026 (reliable time base for transmission));

a means for the transmitter to adjust the time base in according to the relative time difference (fig. 3 (18->19->21), paragraph 0026 (reliable time base for transmission)).

Consider claim 2, and as applied to claim 1 above, Eerenberg et al. clearly disclose and show an apparatus, wherein the transmitter communicates to one or more receivers (fig. 1 (2->4), paragraph 0022), the adjusted time base according to the relative time difference (fig. 3 (18->19->21), paragraph 0026 (time difference is used for reliable time base for transmission)).

Consider claim 3, and as applied to claim 1 above, Eerenberg et al. clearly disclose and show an apparatus, wherein one or more receivers (fig. 1 (2->4), paragraph 0022) adjust a time base according to the relative time difference (fig. 3 (18->19->21), paragraph 0026 (time difference is used for reliable time base for transmission)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2416

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in such that the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eerenberg et al. (U.S. Patent Publication #20030002540), in view of del Prado Pavon et al. (U.S. Patent #71200), and further in view of Mohindra (U.S. Patent Publication # 20050020226). Application/Control Number: 10/541,764
Art Unit: 2416

Consider claim 8, and as applied to claim 7, Bove et al. clearly disclose an apparatus for wirelessly transmitting and receiving digital video data as described.

However, Eerenberg et al. do not show the steps of adjusting a time base according to a relative time difference and VCXO.

In the same field of endeavor, del Prado Pavon et al. clearly disclose determining a relative time difference between a current and a previous AUX SCR (abstract (master sends cycle time value)) to the current and a last value latched in a local oscillator clock (abstract (slave calculates the difference value), column 4, lines 44-54 (oscillators)); adjusting a clock in the local oscillator (fig. 2a (18a (non-master) update), column 4, lines 44-54 (write to the cycle time register)) to synchronize a data rate in a set top; outputting the adjusted clock to a MAC Chip (column 5, lines 53-60 (MAC layer)); adjusting a beacon packet in accordance with the adjusted clock (fig. 3a, step 59); transmitting the adjusted beacon packet to a wireless station (fig. 3a, step 61); and utilizing the adjusted beacon to adjust the rate of the local clock (fig. 3b, step 69).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to demonstrate an apparatus for wirelessly transmitting and receiving digital video data, as taught by Eerenberg, and show the steps of adjusting a time base according to a relative time difference, as taught by del Prado Pavon, so that timing synchronization can be achieved.

However, Eerenberg et al., and as modified by del Prado Pavon, do not specifically disclose VCXO. Application/Control Number: 10/541,764
Art Unit: 2416

In the same field of endeavor, Mohindra clearly shows VCXO (paragraph 0014 (VCXO)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to demonstrate an apparatus for wirelessly transmitting and receiving digital video data, as taught by Eerenberg, show the steps of adjusting a time base according to a relative time difference, as taught by del Prado Pavon, and demonstrate voltage controlled oscillator crystal, as taught by Mohindra, so that the best video quality can be achieved.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed on 4/22/2009, with respect to claims 1, 7 and 9, on pages 4-8 of the remarks, have been carefully considered.

The Examiner appreciates the detail explanation in the Applicant's remarks. In the present application, Applicants basically argue that Bove does not teach or suggest "communicate relative time difference to a transmitter having a time base as one feature of transmission". The Examiner has modified the response with an existing reference which provides "communicate relative time difference to a transmitter having a time base as one feature of transmission". See the above rejections of claims 1, 7 and 9, for the relevant interpretation and citations found in Eerenberg, disclosing the limitations.

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Art Unit: 2416

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Sai-Ming Chan whose telephone number is (571) 270-1769. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 571-272-4100.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,764 Page 8

Art Unit: 2416

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

/Sai-Ming Chan/

Examiner, Art Unit 2416

June 30, 2009

/Seema S. Rao/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416